

Scan for  
Record.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

applicant has  
copy

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10/802,862	03/18/2004	Chung, Yun	

EXAMINER	
Jerry Martin Blevins	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2883	02162006

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Mr. Jerry Martin Blevins, examiner (3) Mr. BRIAN Healy, examiner  
(2) Paul Tsou, atty of record (4)

Date of interview

2/15/06

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached.

Claims discussed:

1 and others in General

Identification of prior art discussed:

Way et al. No. 6,583,908

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The Way et al

reference was discussed and it was  
agreed that Way et al does not teach the  
features of controlling orthogonal polarization components  
within one bit along with a predetermined pulse width to  
compensate for PMP

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

Brian Healy  
Primary Examiner

Examiner's Signature